



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of : **Confirmation No. 9516**  
Norio KIMURA et al. : Attorney Docket No. 2003-0865  
Serial No. 10/601,789 : Group Art Unit 3723  
Filed June 24, 2003 : Examiner Hadi Shakeri  
APPARATUS FOR POLISHING A : **MAIL STOP: AF**  
SUBSTRATE

---

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED  
TO CHARGE ANY DEFICIENCY IN THE  
FEES FOR THIS PAPER TO DEPOSIT  
ACCOUNT NO. 23-0975

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of December 27, 2006, Applicants in the above-referenced U.S. patent application hereby request reconsideration of the rejections contained therein.

In the Office Action, the Examiner maintained the rejection of claims 44, 49 and 50 along with their respective dependent claims, based upon the combination of Lebel et al., U.S. Patent 6,334,807, in view of Watanabe et al., U.S. Patent 5,951,368. A secondary reference to Osterheld was cited with respect to claims 44-46, as well, it is noted. Watanabe was cited in combination with Shultz, Re. 34,425 to reject claims 50-52. These same claims were also rejected as being unpatentable over Lenkersdorfer in view of Watanabe.

However, it is respectfully submitted that the present invention in fact distinguishes over each combination of references cited by the Examiner. The Examiner relied, in each combination, upon the teachings of Watanabe as controlling the attitude of a top ring with respect to the surface of a turntable.